Insulin resistance and hyperinsulinaemia in the development and progression of cancer
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ABSTRACT

Experimental, epidemiological and clinical evidence implicates insulin resistance and its accompanying hyperinsulinaemia in the development of cancer, but the relative importance of these disturbances in cancer remains unclear. There are, however, theoretical mechanisms by which hyperinsulinaemia could amplify such growth-promoting effects as insulin may have, as well as the growth-promoting effects of other, more potent, growth factors. Hyperinsulinaemia may also induce other changes, particularly in the IGF (insulin-like growth factor) system, that could promote cell proliferation and survival. Several factors can independently modify both cancer risk and insulin resistance, including subclinical inflammation and obesity. The possibility that some of the effects of hyperinsulinaemia might then augment pro-carcinogenic changes associated with disturbances in these factors emphasizes how, rather than being a single causative factor, insulin resistance may be most usefully viewed as one strand in a network of interacting disturbances that promote the development and progression of cancer.

INTRODUCTION

Insulin resistance is a state of reduced sensitivity of insulin-responsive tissues to insulin and its key consequences include an impaired ability of insulin to suppress hepatic glucose production and stimulate peripheral glucose elimination. Circulating glucose levels would, therefore, be expected to rise with insulin resistance, but glucose is insulin's principal secretagogue and, providing β-cell function is adequate, insulin secretion increases to overcome insulin resistance and glucose levels are normalized. The resulting 'compensatory hyperinsulinaemia' is a hallmark of insulin resistance.

Insulin resistance coincides with an increased risk of cancer in a variety of conditions, including aging, physical inactivity [1], obesity [2], central body fat distribution [3], Type 2 diabetes [4], hyperglycaemia [5], the metabolic syndrome [6], liver disease [7], subclinical inflammation and obesity. The possibility that some of the effects of hyperinsulinaemia might then augment pro-carcinogenic changes associated with disturbances in these factors emphasizes how, rather than being a single causative factor, insulin resistance may be most usefully viewed as one strand in a network of interacting disturbances that promote the development and progression of cancer.
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of the experimental, epidemiological and clinical evidence that justify an in-depth consideration of insulin resistance and hyperinsulinaemia as factors in carcinogenesis. It then examines the various mechanisms by which insulin resistance and its accompanying hyperinsulinaemia could have an impact on cancer risk. The review also makes a distinction between promotion of cancer by insulin resistance and hyperinsulinaemia and promotion of cancer by conditions that coincidentally cause insulin resistance and hyperinsulinaemia.

EXPERIMENTAL, EPIDEMIOLOGICAL AND CLINICAL EVIDENCE FOR LINKS BETWEEN INSULIN RESISTANCE, HYPERINSULINAEMIA AND CANCER

Experimental studies
Azoxymethane induction of colon cancer or pre-cancerous aberrant crypt foci in the rat has provided the most widely used experimental model with which links between insulin resistance and cancer have been evaluated. Tumorigenesis in this model is enhanced by injection of medium-acting insulin [22,23], and calorie restriction, perhaps the most familiar means of reducing insulin resistance, diminishes the development of aberrant crypt foci [24]. Calorie restriction is also effective in other animal models [25,26]. Low fat in the diet provides additional protection [27] and this emphasizes further the possible importance of insulin resistance, given that low-fat diets are associated with reduced insulin resistance independent of calorie content [28]. Conversely, high calorie intake correlates significantly with pre-cancerous changes [29], and this effect is again modulated by dietary composition, the most tumorigenic being a high-fat diet [30]. It is worth noting that, in an animal model of breast cancer, the presence of obesity had no apparent effect on mammary tumour development, but the carcinogen employed was more able to promote colon cancer [31]. Insulin resistance and hyperinsulinaemia are typical of obesity, so this observation draws attention to the possibility that insulin resistance may be particularly important in colon cancer.

Bruce and co-workers [32] have extended the dietary studies to include an evaluation of accompanying changes in insulin resistance and insulinaemia. The development of colonic aberrant crypt foci was preceded by insulin resistance and hyperinsulinaemia, and there was a significant positive relationship between the size of aberrant crypt foci and non-fasting insulin concentrations [29]. A stronger relationship was observed with increasing OGGT (oral glucose tolerance test) glucose concentrations which, when resulting from dietary modification, would be expected to reflect increasing insulin resistance. In subsequent experiments, a positive relationship was observed between the number of aberrant crypt foci and insulin concentrations 3 h following an oral glucose load, but a stronger relationship was apparent with a direct measure of insulin sensitivity obtained using the euglycaemic–hyperinsulinaemic clamp [33]. The significant correlates of precursors of colon cancer were, therefore, those that might be expected to express most accurately net insulin exposure. Key findings from these experiments are summarized in Table 1.

Epidemiological studies
A complicating factor in evaluating whether experimental associations between insulin resistance and cell proliferation and survival translate into increased risk in humans has been the difficulty in assessing insulin resistance, requiring as it does quantification of the rate of elimination of glucose from the blood relative to the accompanying plasma insulin concentration. Plasma insulin concentrations can, nevertheless, provide a surrogate index since, in the presence of adequate β-cell function, the degree of compensatory hyperinsulinaemia is directly related to the degree of insulin resistance. C-peptide may provide a more effective index of insulinaemia as it is secreted simultaneously and in equimolar quantities with insulin but has a longer plasma half-life, which may render it less subject to individual variation. Associations between insulin or C-peptide and cancer have been evaluated in a number of studies. Studies of colorectal cancer are divided between those showing no association [34–38] or a significant positive association [3,39–44], although three studies have found significantly higher insulin or C-peptide concentrations among patients in whom colorectal adenoma has been detected [45–47], particularly in those with advanced lesions [45]. As with colorectal cancer, studies are divided for breast cancer (no association [48–56]; positive association [57–64]), endometrial cancer (no association

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Correlation with</th>
<th>Significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Koohestani et al. [29] (n = 98)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting plasma insulin</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OGGT glucose AUC</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tran et al. [33] (n = 24)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OGGT 180 min insulin</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clamp insulins sensitivity</td>
<td>-0.52</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 Correlation coefficients for the relationships between aberrant crypt foci and indices and measures of insulin resistance and insulinaemia in Fischer 344 rats initiated by azoxymethane and given diets differing in calorie and saturated fat content (Koohestani et al. [29]) or saturated fat content (Tran et al. [33])

ACF, aberrant crypt foci; AUC, area under the curve.
Two studies of pancreatic cancer both found a positive association [75, 76].

Studies of colorectal, breast, endometrial and pancreatic cancer were the subject of a meta-analysis by Pisani [19] published in 2008. The majority of studies were population-based and, for colorectal and endometrial cancers, there was little difference between prospective and cross-sectional studies. Pisani did, however, note that, for breast cancer, prospective studies all showed no association with insulin or C-peptide, with all of the evidence for a positive association coming from cross-sectional studies. Positive associations could, therefore, reflect secondary effects of existing cancer in the cases. However, more recently, two prospective analyses from the U.S. Women’s Health Initiative Observational Study have reported a positive association between insulin concentrations and incident breast cancer [60, 61]. Importantly, one of these evaluated serial insulin measurements [61], which would be expected to provide a more accurate assessment of net insulin exposure than a single baseline measure. The serially derived insulin measures showed an appreciably stronger relationship with incident breast cancer than the single baseline measurements.

Epidemiological studies of the relationships between insulin, C-peptide and cancer vary considerably in study design and the extent to which confounding factors have been taken into account. However, the majority standardized for the principal confounder, adiposity. The extent to which there is a continuous or threshold relationship between insulinaemia and cancer is difficult to assess, the majority of studies simply comparing risk according to diabetes therapy [21] (see the next section).

The extent to which there is a continuous or threshold relationship between insulinaemia and cancer is difficult to assess, the majority of studies simply comparing risk according to diabetes therapy [21] (see the next section).

Clinical evidence
Restoration of insulin action by a reduction in insulin resistance and replacement of plasma insulin is central to the treatment of diabetes mellitus, and monitoring of the long-term effects of different treatments available has provided suggestive evidence regarding links between insulin resistance, hyperinsulinaemia and cancer. A number of insulin-sensitization agents have recently become available, but only metformin has been in use long enough for reliable conclusions to be drawn regarding its effects on cancer in vivo. Metformin augments signalling via AMPK (AMP-activated protein kinase), resulting in inhibition of hepatic glucose and lipid synthesis and increased muscle glucose uptake [94]. Consequently less insulin is needed to achieve these effects and a net insulin sensitization is apparent. Additionally, AMPK activation can inhibit cell growth and proliferation, possibly via inhibition of the mTOR (mammalian target of rapamycin) complex [95], and there are now several studies showing a reduced risk of cancer in those with existing cancer [88–92]. Equivocal evidence regarding diabetes mellitus may reflect a lack of discrimination between hypo- and hyper-insulinaemic forms of diabetes mellitus [17, 93] and possible differences according to diabetes therapy [21] (see the next section).

Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of cancer</th>
<th>Relative risk (95% CI)</th>
<th>Number of cases</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Colorectal</td>
<td>1.35 (1.13–1.61)</td>
<td>1257</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast</td>
<td>1.26 (1.06–1.48)</td>
<td>1164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endometrial</td>
<td>1.11 (0.74–1.65)</td>
<td>388</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pancreatic</td>
<td>1.70 (1.10–2.63)</td>
<td>209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prostate</td>
<td>1.53 (1.01–2.32)</td>
<td>220</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CI, confidence interval.
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of cancer in patients treated with metformin [96–99]. It could be argued, however, that this reflects metformin's intracellular actions rather than any effect it may have on insulin resistance and hyperinsulinaemia. Moreover, evidence from in vitro studies indicates that metformin also has effects on cell proliferation via intracellular targets other than AMPK [100,101].

Injection of insulin by patients with diabetes to control their blood glucose levels has been a mainstay of Type 1 diabetes therapy for over 80 years and is also an important option in the treatment of Type 2 diabetes. There is some evidence that insulin therapy can augment colorectal cancer risk in patients with Type 2 diabetes [102,103]. Importantly, one of these studies corrected for metformin use, this being likely to be more frequent in the non-insulin-using controls and to be associated with lower colorectal cancer risk [102]. Moreover, that study found that risk increased significantly with increasing duration of chronic insulin use. Further suggestive evidence comes from a study published recently based on a German insurance fund cohort in which a significant increase in the risk of malignant neoplasm or cancer mortality was observed with each S.D. increase in dose of human insulin [104].

Recombinant DNA technology has enabled the construction of insulin analogues with enhanced absorption properties and a more sustained biological effect on account of a higher affinity of binding to the insulin receptor. Such properties might also be expected to enhance any effects insulin might have on cell proliferation and survival. A dose-dependent increase in malignant tumour formation was observed in rats injected with one of the earliest of these analogues to be investigated, B10-Asp (AspB10 insulin), which has an aspartate residue substituted at the B10 position of the insulin molecule [105]. A 7-fold increase in the rate of proliferation of cultured human cancer cells was observed with this analogue [106], and similar effects have been reported in vitro with other analogues [107].

Insulin analogues can undergo modification at the site of injection, so the relevance of proliferative effects identified in vitro to in vivo risks is questionable. There are, nevertheless, several observational epidemiological studies that link use of some insulin analogues with increased risk of cancer [98,104,108,109]. Although controversial [110,111], the possibility has been raised that the pro-proliferative effects of insulin analogues result primarily from enhanced activation of the IGF-1 (insulin-like growth factor-1) receptor [21]. Their relevance to any effects native human insulin may have are, therefore, questionable.

It should be noted that the other major therapy option for increasing circulating native insulin levels is use of insulin secretagogues, of which the sulfonylurea drugs have been available the longest. There is some evidence suggesting an increased risk of cancer in patients using these agents [97–99,112], but firm conclusions are difficult because of the inclusion of reduced risk metformin users in the comparison groups. Sulfonylurea users may be at a somewhat lower risk than insulin users [97], but information in this area is very limited and, even if an increased risk was confirmed, a pharmacological effect of these drugs cannot be excluded.

**MITOGENIC AND ANTI-APOPTOTIC EFFECTS OF INSULIN**

**Growth promotion via classical insulin signalling**

The possibility that insulin might increase cell proliferation in vivo was highlighted decades ago by Stout and Vallance-Owen [113] in relation to the development of atherosclerosis and, at the same time, in vitro and in vivo studies were indicating that many tumours might have an absolute dependence on insulin for continuing growth [114,115]. Since then, there has been considerable progress in elucidating how insulin could promote cell proliferation and survival. Insulin action begins with binding to its specific receptor, a transmembrane receptor tyrosine kinase. Signalling by the activated receptor involves autophosphorylation of tyrosine residues in the intracellular domain, which then promotes the phosphorylation of IRS-1 (insulin receptor substrate-1) and transmission of the insulin signal via two major phosphorylation cascades. These are distinguished by their principal mediators: PI3K (phosphoinositide 3-kinase) and MAPK (mitogen-activated protein kinase), as illustrated in Figure 1.

Signalling via the PI3K pathway involves the interaction of phosphorylated IRS-1 with the p85 regulatory subunit of PI3K and, as a consequence, activation of the PI3K p110 catalytic subunit. Activated PI3K locates to the cell membrane where it catalyses the production of PtdIns(3,4,5)P3. PtdIns(3,4,5)P3 stimulates the translation of the serine/threonine protein kinase Akt to the cell membrane, where it is phosphorylated by the PtdIns(3,4,5)P3-dependent protein kinase PDK1 (phosphoinositide-dependent kinase 1). Akt is capable of stimulating the phosphorylation and consequent inhibition or activation of a broad range of proteins that affect cell growth, division and survival as well as lipid and carbohydrate metabolism, for example the pro-apoptotic Bcl-2 family member BAD, the metabolism and growth-related Fox (forkhead box) transcription factors such as Foxa2 and FOXO1a, and the growth-related mTOR and its downstream effector kinase p70S6K (p70 S6 kinase). Signalling via the PI3K pathway is also a key factor in the translocation of GLUT-4 glucose transporters to the cell membrane. The importance of signalling via the PI3K pathway for cell proliferation and survival is evident from the high prevalence in a variety of cancers...
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Figure 1  Principal signalling pathways activated by the insulin receptor

Positive influences are shown by lines ending in arrows and inhibitory influences by lines ending in bars. MEK, MAPK/extracellular-signal-regulated kinase; PIP3, PtdIns(3,4,5)P3; PKC, protein kinase C; triglyceride, triacylglycerol.

of a loss-of-function of the PtdIns(3,4,5)P3-phosphatase PTEN (phosphatase and tensin homologue deleted on chromosome 10), which results in elevated levels of PtdIns(3,4,5)P3 and enhanced PI3K signalling [116].

Phosphorylated IRS-1 can also mediate the formation of a complex between the adaptor protein Grb2 (growth-factor-receptor-bound protein 2) and the guanine nucleotide-exchange factor mSos (mammalian Son of sevenless). The Grb2–mSos complex can then promote GTP loading and consequent activation of the small-molecular-mass GTPase p21Ras, which lies at the head of the MAPK signalling cascade and which provides a point of convergence for signalling by a number of growth factors. Via a series of intermediate kinases, activated p21Ras promotes the activation of MAPKs, which then activate transcription factors involved in cell proliferation. The importance of signalling via the Ras/MAPK pathway for cell proliferation and survival is shown by the high prevalence of p21Ras overexpression in a range of different cancers [117].

In vitro studies, including studies of cancer cells [118], provide ample evidence for the promotion of cell division by insulin. However, supra-physiological insulin concentrations have generally been used in these studies and it has been questioned whether, on its own, insulin binding to the insulin receptor has any growth-promoting effects [119]. Nevertheless, the in vitro milieu in which insulin operates may be very different from in vitro conditions and, as will be shown, insulin can induce other changes that could amplify any growth-promoting properties it may have. It could be objected that the compensatory hyperinsulinaemia of insulin resistance merely restores insulin signalling to non-resistant levels so, in principle, no additional drive to cell division and survival might be expected. This is likely to be true of signalling via the PI3K pathway, which also mediates the glucoregulatory effects of insulin. There is, nevertheless, some evidence from an in vitro study in breast cancer cells that insulin signalling via the MAPK pathway is preserved despite inhibition of IRS-1/PI3K signalling [120]. The possibility that compensatory hyperinsulinaemia might provide additional drive to cell proliferation via the MAPK pathway is illustrated in Figure 2.

Other growth-promoting effects of insulin

Small-molecular-mass GTPases play a key role in the signalling pathways involved in cell proliferation and there is evidence, largely from the work of Goalstone, Draznin and co-workers [121–123], for specific activation of these proteins and amplification of their effects by insulin. p21Ras is among the most prominent of these enzymes and prenylation of p21Ras with a farnesyl moiety by the enzyme farnesyl transferase is an essential step in anchoring p21Ras to the plasma membrane in readiness for GTP loading and signalling via the MAPK pathway. Insulin can activate farnesyl transferase by phosphorylation of its regulatory α subunit [121], and insulin-induced increases in p21Ras farnesylation have indeed been shown to be associated with increased GTP loading of membrane-bound p21Ras [122]. Activation of
In uncompensated insulin resistance (upper panel), signalling via the PI3K pathway is diminished, glucose uptake by the cell via GLUT-4 transporters is reduced, suppression of gluconeogenesis is reduced and glucose levels rise. In compensated insulin resistance (lower panel), the pancreas responds to the rise in glucose levels with increased insulin secretion, insulin levels rise, signalling through the PI3K pathway is restored and cell glucose uptake and gluconeogenesis are normalized. However, the increased insulin concentrations increase signalling via the kinases of the MAPK pathway (p21Ras, Raf, MEK [MAPK/ERK (extracellular-signal-regulated kinase) kinase] and ERK) to increase cell proliferation and survival.

Farnesyl transferase appears to be mediated via the insulin receptor, as shown by increased levels of farnesylated p21Ras in cells overexpressing insulin receptors and reduced levels in cells expressing a mutant insulin receptor with impaired function [123]. These studies also suggest that farnesyl transferase activation by insulin is not mediated by the IRS-1/PI3K pathway, but via another target of the activated insulin receptor, Shc, which then promotes Grb2/mSos recruitment, p21Ras activation and phosphorylation of farnesyl transferase via MAPK pathway activation. Different isoforms of Shc that can be phosphorylated by the activated insulin receptor [124] include the p52 isoform which, upon tyrosine phosphorylation, activates p21Ras and the p66 isoform which has an additional domain with a phosphorylatable serine residue and acts as a competitive inhibitor of p21Ras activation by the other isoforms [125].

This stimulation of farnesyl transferase activity appears to be specific to insulin [126], and prolonged hyperinsulinaemia has been shown to increase the cellular pool of farnesylated p21Ras and loading of p21Ras with GTP [127,128]. This effect is in addition to the general up-regulation of p21Ras-GTP associated with growth factor signalling and it can, therefore, synergize with stimulation of the guanine nucleotide-exchange activity of mSos by insulin or other growth factors to amplify growth factor signalling [129,130]. These interactions are illustrated in Figure 3.

**Up-regulation of insulin receptors and insulin-regulated intracellular signalling molecules**

Carcinogenesis is a multifactorial multistage process and one of these stages can include overexpression of insulin receptors. The compensatory hyperinsulinaemia of insulin resistance could, therefore, provide an additional stimulus to cell proliferation and survival in situations where insulin signalling has already been rendered hypersensitive by increased expression of insulin receptors. In non-malignantly transformed cells overexpressing insulin receptors, exposure to insulin can result in a malignantly transformed phenotype [131,132]. Insulin receptors may be overexpressed 2–6-fold in cancer cells [133–135]; moreover, although the up-regulated receptors can appear structurally normal, the sensitivity of their receptor tyrosine kinase activity is increased [136]. Two isoforms of the insulin receptor exist, the A isoform predominating in fetal tissues and the B isoform in adult tissues. In addition to binding insulin, the A isoform...
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Figure 3  Farnesylation of p21Ras causes it to locate to the plasma membrane where it can undergo GTP loading, which is essential for signalling via the MAPK pathway

Insulin increases activation of farnesyl transferase, which increases farnesylation of p21Ras. As a consequence, the pool of p21Ras-GTP is increased. This has the effect of amplifying growth factor signalling via the MAPK pathway. MEK, MAPK/ERK kinase.

form of the receptor binds IGF-2 and, in cancer cells overexpressing insulin receptors, the A form appears to predominate [137]. Overexpression of insulin receptors therefore exposes the cell to the growth-promoting effects of IGF-2.

Cancer cells appear to lose their ability to down-regulate insulin receptors in response to hyperinsulinaemia [138] and in cells with up-regulated insulin receptors, a given concentration of insulin can increase production of p21Ras-GTP 7-fold compared with normal cells [139]. The observation that p21Ras-GTP, farnesylated p21 Ras and phosphorylated Shc are all increased in cells overexpressing insulin receptors or overexpressing a mitogenically active mutant insulin receptor emphasizes the functional consequences of receptor overexpression [135,140]. As well as interacting with up-regulated insulin receptors, the compensatory hyperinsulinaemia of insulin resistance could also interact with the up-regulation of intracellular mediators involved in growth factor signalling frequently observed in carcinogenesis. An example is provided by p21Ras itself, which, when overexpressed, can greatly enhance the effect of insulin on cell growth [141].

INSULIN RESISTANCE, HYPERINSULINAEMIA AND SIGNALLING VIA THE IGF-1 RECEPTOR

IGF-1, insulin resistance and cell proliferation and survival

IGF-1 signalling via the IGF-1 receptor has effects on cell proliferation and survival that are appreciably stronger than those of insulin [142]. IGF-1 can act as a potent growth factor for cancer cells both in vivo [143] and in vitro [144], and in vivo overexpression of IGF-1 can promote tumour formation [145], whereas its down-regulation can inhibit tumorigenesis [146]. IGF-1 can overcome the beneficial effect of calorie restriction in animal models of cancer [147] and can increase expression of angiogenic factors in cancer cells [148]. Epidemiological evidence supports a role for elevated circulating IGF-1 levels in the development of a variety of cancers, including colorectal, prostate and breast cancers [149] (although an inverse relationship has been reported for free IGF-1 levels [67]). It should also be noted that stimulation of PI3K or MAPK signalling via the IGF-1 receptor can promote phosphorylation of TAF-1 (transcriptional activation function-1) of the oestrogen receptor, thus promoting oestrogen-independent cell growth and division in oestrogen-sensitive tissues [150].

There is considerable homology between the insulin receptor and the IGF-1 receptor, and insulin can bind to and activate the IGF-1 receptor. Insulin could, therefore, enhance IGF signalling by direct stimulation of the IGF-1 receptor [151], although the relevance of this is uncertain [152]. Nevertheless, given that signalling via the IGF-1 receptor is more tightly linked to growth promotion, any augmentation of IGF-1-receptor-mediated signalling could markedly affect cell proliferation and survival. In accordance with this, relatively minor increases in serum IGF-1 concentrations are associated with an increased risk of prostate [153,154], breast [155], colon [156] and lung [157] cancers.

Indirect effects of insulin on IGF signalling

Insulin can up-regulate human hepatic GH (growth hormone) receptors [158], and receptor-mediated GH signalling in the liver is the principal stimulus for IGF-1 release [159]. Hyperinsulinaemia could, therefore, specifically augment IGF-1 levels. The potential importance of such an effect is suggested by the observations that, in contrast with IGF-1, IGF-2 levels appear to be unaffected
Theoretically, interactions between the effects of insulin resistance and hyperinsulinaemia could lead to amplification of the cell proliferation and survival signals induced by insulin. This amplification will be even greater against a background of insulin or IGF-1 receptor overexpression or growth signalling protein overexpression. The ability of insulin to increase the pool of p21Ras-GTP by enhancing p21Ras farnesylation has the potential to amplify further these effects.

by GH levels [160] and do not predict incident cancer [156]. As IGF-1 signalling involves similar pathways to those utilized by insulin, another mechanism by which insulin could amplify IGF-1 signalling could involve insulin's ability to stimulate p21Ras farnesylation. Moreover, as with the insulin receptor, the IGF-1 receptor is frequently overexpressed in cancer cells [161,162]. In addition to amplifying any signalling by insulin via the IGF-1 receptor, overexpression of the IGF-1 receptor in cancer cells will augment the formation of insulin receptor/IGF-1 receptor hybrids. This could increase the possibility of cross-talk, whereby insulin binding to the insulin receptor moiety of the heterodimer activates the IGF-1 receptor moiety [163], and there is evidence for this in relation with hybrids with the A isoform of the insulin receptor [164].

**IGFBPs (IGF-binding proteins)**

Insulin could affect IGF-1 signalling further by modulating the availability of IGFBPs. Six IGFBPs have been identified and the most abundant, IGFBP-3, binds approx. 80% of circulating IGF-1 and has intrinsic anti-tumorigenic properties [165], possibly mediated by inhibition of farnesyl transferase activity [166]. There is also evidence that IGFBP-3 may promote apoptosis [167,168]; however, hepatic production of IGFBP-3 and formation of the IGF-1–IGFBP-3 complex appears to be positively controlled by GH, which, given the up-regulation of GH receptors by insulin described above, would predict higher IGFBP-3 levels in association with compensatory hyperinsulinaemia [169]. These interactions would then suggest an anti-tumorigenic action of insulin.

In contrast, hyperinsulinaemia suppresses IGFBP-1 levels [170,171] and, although IGFBP-2 is not acutely regulated by insulin, there is some evidence that chronically low levels of insulin are associated with an increase in IGFBP-2 [172]. How important these effects are with regard to control of IGF availability might be questioned given that less than 25% of IGF appears to be bound by these proteins [173]. However, although IGFBP-3 is responsible for the bulk of IGF binding, it is the smaller, capillary permeable, rapidly turning over pool of IGFBPs, which includes IGFBP-1 and IGFBP-2, that may be important in modulating IGF bioactivity [173,174]. Suppression of the levels of these proteins by insulin might, therefore, increase the extravascular availability of bioactive IGF-1, although much more evidence is needed to clarify the complex dynamic relationships that are likely to be involved.
INSULIN-RESISTANCE-RELATED PRO-CARCINOGENIC CHARACTERISTICS

Insulin resistance and its compensatory hyperinsulinaemia have effects that can promote cancer and these effects can interact and synergize with other growth-promoting changes, as illustrated in Figure 4. Nevertheless, insulin resistance and hyperinsulinaemia may be a consequence of other processes that can themselves promote cancer by other pathways. Two key areas in this respect are increased activation of the inflammatory system and increased adiposity, both of which can induce insulin resistance and both of which may promote the development and progression of cancer independently of their effects on insulin resistance.

Activation of inflammatory mediators

Inflammation, chronic and subclinical, has come to be recognized as a key factor in tumorigenesis and cancer progression [175–177]. One means by which activated cells of the immune system exert their protection against pathogens is by production of ROS (reactive oxygen species), and ROS can disrupt insulin signalling by activating JNK (c-Jun N-terminal kinase). JNK, in turn, increases the serine phosphorylation of IRS-1, decreases the tyrosine phosphorylation of IRS-1 and inhibits serine phosphorylation of Akt, each of which contribute to reduced insulin signalling [178]. ROS can also cause oxidative damage to DNA, a key factor in the somatic mutations that underlie tumorigenesis [179]. It should be noted that, as well as inducing insulin resistance, production of ROS can also be increased by some of the consequences of insulin resistance, including elevations in glucose levels [180] and increased β-oxidation of fatty acids [181].

Activation of inflammatory pathways can induce insulin resistance by a variety of other mechanisms [182]. For example, signalling by TNF-α (tumour necrosis factor-α) via the TNF receptor can activate JNK. Another possibility involves signalling via NF-κB (nuclear factor-κB), which is promoted by an inhibitor of NF-κB inactivation, IKKβ [IκB (inhibitor of NF-κB) kinase β]. The importance of NF-κB in the induction of insulin resistance is apparent in the improvements in insulin sensitivity resulting from inhibition of IKKβ by high dose salicylate [183]. Equally, the importance of NF-κB in the promotion of carcinogenesis is apparent in the reduction in risk of cancer associated with the use of aspirin and other NSAIDs (non-steroidal anti-inflammatory agents) [184], which is mediated by the inhibition of signalling via NF-κB [185]. A further convergence between inflammation, insulin resistance and cancer is observed in relation to the nuclear receptor PPAR-γ (peroxisome-proliferator-activated receptor-γ). As a well-established mediator of insulin action, PPAR-γ can also antagonize NF-κB [186] and can inhibit pro-carcinogenic changes in human colon cancer cells [187].

Interactions between inflammation, insulin resistance and cell proliferation and survival are illustrated in Figure 5.
Obesity

Increased adiposity is a major determinant of insulin resistance, as well as being a risk factor for cancer. It is associated with increased NEFAs (non-esterified fatty acid levels) which, by competing with glucose as a metabolic fuel, can induce insulin resistance and can also increase fatty acid oxidation and production of ROS. Moreover, adipose tissue, with its resident macrophages, can release a variety of so-called ‘adipokines’ that include both proteins with signalling properties and cytokines. The cytokines include TNF-α and IL-6 (interleukin-6), both of which have pro-oncogenic effects and can induce insulin resistance [188]. Visceral adipose tissue appears to be a particularly rich source of adipokine cytokines [189], and insulin resistance has a stronger relationship with visceral fat than with overall adiposity. Visceral fat may particularly predispose to the development of cancer [3,190], especially in post-menopausal women [191–193].

Among the adipokine proteins with signalling properties, leptin acts as an ‘adipostat’ by suppressing centrally generated appetite signalling. Obesity is, however, associated with leptin resistance and hyperleptinaemia. Leptin concentrations are strongly correlated with insulin resistance [194], but leptin appears to have pro-oncogenic effects of its own since, in vitro, it can enhance proliferation of both normal [195] and cancer [196] cell lines and can stimulate angiogenesis [197]. Moreover, at levels observed in obesity, leptin may be able to induce the adipose tissue aromatase enzyme complex [198], which would be expected to increase concentrations of the mitogenic sex hormone oestradiol (see below).

Obesity is also associated with reduced levels of the anti-inflammatory pro-apoptotic adipokine adiponectin. Adiponectin is inversely associated with insulin resistance and this may reflect more than mere co-variation with adiposity, since adiponectin has a number of effects at the cellular level that could increase the sensitivity of glucose and lipid metabolism to insulin via its activation of the cell energy sensor AMPK and the nuclear receptor PPAR-α [199]. As mentioned previously with regard to metformin, activation of AMPK has anti-proliferative effects, and there is evidence for this in relation to the activation of AMPK signalling by adiponectin [200]. It should be noted that adiponectin can inhibit TNF-α-induced phosphorylation of IKKβ and, consequently, the activation of NF-κB [201].

Beyond the pro-inflammatory effects of increased adiposity, adipose tissue can mediate potentially prooncogenic transformations in gonadal or adrenal steroids: specifically, increased synthesis of oestradiol from testosterone and oestrone from Δ4-androstenedione by the aromatase enzyme complex. This increases oestradiol concentrations, and oestradiol is a potent mitogen for breast and endometrial tissue. The potential importance of these interconversions is apparent in post-menopausal women, in whom oestradiol levels are significantly correlated with BMI (body mass index), and the risk of breast cancer associated with BMI is substantially reduced by including endogenous oestrogen concentrations as a covariate [202]. Interactions between obesity, insulin resistance and cell proliferation and survival are illustrated in Figure 6.

---
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Other factors related to insulin resistance and cancer

Specifically with regard to the sex-hormone-dependent cancers, i.e. cancers of the breast, prostate and endometrium, SHBG (sex hormone-binding globulin) levels are reduced in states of insulin resistance, apparently due to a direct suppressive effect of hyperinsulinaemia on SHBG production by the liver [203]. This could act to increase the availability of free sex hormones [204] and, therefore, favour the development of sex-hormone-dependent cancers. Amplification of oestradiol levels in obesity could also result from induction of aromatase activity by the pro-inflammatory adipokines TNF-α and IL-6 [205], which, as mentioned above, will be present in adipose tissue in relatively high concentrations.

Increased inflammation and obesity and decreased SHBG provide extracellular points of focus that relate to insulin resistance and have pro-carcinogenic effects of their own. Conversely, there are several intracellular points of focus that can both mediate insulin sensitization and diminish cell proliferation and survival. PPAR-γ has already been mentioned in relation to inflammation. Another, more recently recognized point of focus is provided by the deacetylase SIRT1 (sirtuin 1). Sirtuins are key mediators in the longevity associated with calorie restriction [206], and sirtuin action can modulate the activities of several key transcription factors (reviewed in [207,208]). For example, SIRT1 can diminish inflammatory pathway signalling by deacetylating the p65 transcription factor subunit of NF-κB and can deacetylate Foxo1, thus promoting transcription of the adiponectin gene. It can also promote deacetylation of Foxo3α, leading to enhanced expression of catalase, the enzyme responsible for metabolizing H₂O₂ and thus reducing ROS levels. SIRT1 can also enhance post-receptor insulin signalling by increasing serine phosphorylation of Akt and tyrosine phosphorylation of the insulin receptor and IRS-1, primarily through transcriptional repression of the phosphorylase Ptpn1 (protein tyrosine phosphatase, non-receptor type 1). The actions of SIRT1 appear to depend on the nutritional context in which they are studied, but their net effects generally point to enhancement of insulin sensitivity. Their effect on cancer risk is complicated by the fact that, under normal conditions, there is a mutually reinforced inverse relationship between SIRT1 and p53 [209,210]. Given the critical role of p53 in eliminating DNA damage via apoptosis, its down-regulation would, conventionally, be regarded as oncogenic. However, SIRT1 can also inhibit cell cycle progression [211], so it may be that it acts to provide a check on both cell cycle progression and apoptosis, thus allowing for more effective DNA repair [207]. In accord with this, in vivo studies show a tumour-suppressive effect of experimentally enhanced SIRT1 levels [212].

DISCUSSION

There are plausible mechanisms by which insulin resistance and hyperinsulinaemia could promote cancer development and progression, but it remains to be established how important these are. A number of conditions that lead to insulin resistance, particularly subclinical inflammation and obesity, have effects of their own that are pro-carcinogenic. Therefore, in epidemiological studies, detailed baseline and long-term evaluation will be needed not only of insulin resistance and hyperinsulinaemia, but also subclinical inflammation and adiposity. To date, very few studies meet these requirements.

The inter-relatedness of the various factors that connect with insulin resistance and cancer risk is illustrated in Figure 7, and it should be apparent from this Figure that it may be unrealistic to consider insulin resistance as a standalone cancer risk factor. Both inflammation and obesity have effects of their own that could promote cancer, but the hyperinsulinaemia they induce may itself feedback and augment further their effects on cell proliferation and survival. One possibility for gaining a better understanding of the relative importance of the role of insulin resistance in cancer development may be to evaluate the co-associated metabolic disturbances that insulin resistance is characterized by, rather than insulin resistance itself. Conventionally, insulin resistance is quantified according to its glucoregulatory effects, but it also has effects on lipid metabolism and renal function. A measure of the insulin resistance syndrome could, therefore, provide a potentially useful index of whole-body insulin resistance. Given the close relationship between insulin resistance and hyperinsulinaemia, such a measure could also provide a better index of net insulin exposure than a single fasting insulin measurement or even insulin concentrations in response to glucose challenge. It is worth noting, as described above, that the evidence for the metabolic syndrome (an approximate index of insulin-resistance-related metabolic disturbance) as a risk factor for cancer is more consistent than for insulin alone.

An important question that has yet to be resolved is, to what extent is insulin resistance a risk factor for cancer in general or for specific types of cancer? As mentioned, there is some evidence for insulin resistance being particularly related to colorectal cancer, and studies specifically concerned with colorectal cancer predominate. However, the majority of mechanisms summarized in the present review could affect the risk of any kind of cancer, with some additional mechanisms applying to sex-hormone-dependent cancers. Colorectal cancer is, nevertheless, a major cancer and insulin-resistance-related factors could provide useful points of intervention by analogy with cigarette smoking and lung
Figure 7  Selected key inter-relationships in an insulin-resistant pro-carcinogenic state associated with increased inflammation, obesity and reduced levels of SIRT1

Potentially beneficial effects, with regard to reducing both insulin resistance and cell proliferation and survival, that are diminished in this state are shown by the broken lines. Positive influences are shown by lines ending in arrows and inhibitory influences by lines ending in bars. Variables independently reduced in insulin-resistant states are shown by the solid arrows.

cancer, and sex hormone action and breast and prostate cancer.

Recent reports of an increased incidence of cancer in users of insulin analogues [98,104,108,109] highlight the potential importance of insulin as a cancer risk factor. However, from the clinical point of view, these are still preliminary observations, with relatively short follow-up times and inconsistencies in the types of cancer for which an increased risk was apparent. Future studies will need to focus on whether these are chance findings, whether they reflect the influence of a particular at-risk subgroup and, supposing there is increased risk, whether this places incidence rates among those using long-acting insulins above those in the population in general. This latter point reflects the possibility mentioned previously that some patients with diabetes may be relatively hypoinsulinaemic and, therefore, at relatively low risk of cancer. If such patients form the comparison group in studies of long-acting insulins, an exaggerated impression of risk may be given.

In conclusion, it bears repeating that cancer is a multifactorial process. Insulin itself does not induce somatic cell mutations and cannot, therefore, be considered a carcinogen. However, pre-malignant lesions may be present in a high proportion of healthy individuals, and whether these progress to an invasive metastatic fatal cancer can depend on an extraordinarily complex interacting network of errors, imbalances and disturbances. It should be apparent from the present review that insulin resistance and its associated hyperinsulinaemia could form a significant strand in such a network.
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